

Chapter 5, Exercise 5.10 (page 120)

Consider throwing  $m$  balls into  $n$  bins, and for convenience let the bins be numbered from 0 to  $n - 1$ . We say there is a  $k$ -gap starting at bin  $i$  if bins  $i, i + 1, \dots, i + k - 1$  are empty.

- (a) Determine the expected number of  $k$ -gaps.

Let the random variable  $X$  be the number of  $k$ -gaps in the  $n$  bins. Let  $X_i = 1$  if there exists a  $k$ -gap starting at bin  $i$  and  $X_i = 0$  otherwise. Then,  $X = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} X_i$ , and by linearity of expectation,

$$\mathbf{E}[X] = \mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-k} X_i\right] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \mathbf{E}[X_i]. \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{E}[X_i] = \Pr[X_i = 1] \quad (2)$$

$$= \Pr\left[\bigcap_{b=1}^m \text{ball } b \text{ does not land in bins } i \text{ through } i + k - 1\right] \quad (3)$$

$$= \prod_{b=1}^m \Pr[\text{ball } b \text{ lands in one of the } n - k \text{ other bins}] \quad (4)$$

$$= \left(\frac{n - k}{n}\right)^m. \quad (5)$$

Plugging (5) into (1) gives us

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \left(\frac{n - k}{n}\right)^m = (n - k + 1) \left(\frac{n - k}{n}\right)^m. \quad (6)$$

- (b) Prove a Chernoff-like bound for the number of  $k$ -gaps. (Hint: If you let  $X_i = 1$  when there is a  $k$ -gap starting at bin  $i$ , then there are dependencies between  $X_i$  and  $X_{i+1}$ ; to avoid these dependencies, you might consider  $X_i$  and  $X_{i+k}$ .)

Recall from part (a) that we have indicator random variables  $X_i$ , where

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there is a } k\text{-gap at } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq n - k$ , and the random variable  $X = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} X_i$  = the number of  $k$ -gaps in the  $n$  bins after  $m$  balls are thrown.

Let the random variable  $x_i$  be the number of balls in bin  $i$  and let its corresponding independent Poisson random variable be  $y_i$ . Similarly, define an independent Poisson random variable  $Y_i$  that corresponds to  $X_i$ .

Note that  $X_i$  can equivalently be defined as a function of  $x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k-1}$  as follows:

$$X_i = f(x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k-1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{j=i}^{i+k-1} x_j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Similarly,  $Y_i$  can be defined as  $f(y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{i+k-1})$ .

Let  $Z_i = X_i + X_{i+2k} + \dots = \sum_{j=0}^{n/k} X_{i+jk}$  and let  $Z_i^P = Y_i + Y_{i+k} + Y_{i+2k} + \dots = \sum_{j=0}^{n/k} Y_{i+jk}$ .

With this in mind, we can express the total number of  $k$ -gaps as

$$X = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Z_i.$$

Since  $Z_i$  is a function of  $X_i$ , which is a function of  $x_i$ , and  $\mathbf{E}[Z_i] = \frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{k}$  by linearity of expectation, we can let

$$g(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Z_0 \geq (1 + \delta) \frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{k} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and similarly,

$$g(y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Z_0^P \geq (1 + \delta) \frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{k} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\mathbf{E}[g(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})] = \mathbf{Pr} \left[ Z_0 \geq (1 + \delta) \frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{k} \right]$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}[g(y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})] = \mathbf{Pr} \left[ Z_0^P \geq (1 + \delta) \frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{k} \right]$$

By theorem 5.7 on page 101 of the text:

$$\mathbf{E}[g(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})] \leq e\sqrt{m}\mathbf{E}[g(y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})]$$

So

$$\mathbf{Pr} \left[ Z_0 \geq (1 + \delta) \frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{k} \right] \leq e\sqrt{m}\mathbf{Pr} \left[ Z_0^P \geq (1 + \delta) \frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{k} \right].$$

By the Chernoff bound on a sum of independent Poisson trials (theorem 4.4 page 64),

$$\Pr\left[Z_0^P \geq (1 + \delta)\frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{k}\right] \leq e^{-\mathbf{E}[X]\delta^2/3k}.$$

Finally, by observing that if  $X \geq (1 + \delta)\mathbf{E}[X]$  then there exists an  $i$  such that  $Z_i \geq (1 + \delta)\frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{k}$ , and then applying union bound and substitution, we know:

$$\Pr[X \geq (1 + \delta)\mathbf{E}[X]] \leq \Pr\left[\bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} Z_j \geq (1 + \delta)\frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{k}\right] \quad (7)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \Pr\left[Z_j \geq (1 + \delta)\frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{k}\right] \quad (8)$$

$$\leq ke\sqrt{m}\Pr\left[Z_i^P \geq (1 + \delta)\frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{k}\right] \quad (9)$$

$$= ke^{1-\mathbf{E}[X]\delta^2/3k}\sqrt{m}. \quad (10)$$